

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/751,087	01/02/2004	Michael E. Hawkins	0103-0039 (ZM0570)	1328	
43231 75	3231 7590 10/26/2006		EXAMINER		
ZIMMER TECHNOLOGY - REEVES			RAMANA, A	RAMANA, ANURADHA	
P. O. BOX 708 WARSAW, IN 46581-0708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		NT			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antico O	10/751,087	HAWKINS, MICHAEL E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anu Ramana	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	August 2006.	,			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12,13,18-20 and 22</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12,13,18 and 22</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/2/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) dbjected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have beer	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19, lines 9-10, the limitation, "extending along each of a first side and a second side from a front portion to a back portion" renders the claim vague and indefinite since it unclear what structure Applicants are referring to.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cloutier (US 4,207,627) in view of Volz (US 4,257,129).

Art Unit: 3733

Cloutier discloses an implant for replacing opposed articulating bone ends including: a first femoral component 3 and a second tibial component 5 wherein tibial component 5 has means for low friction articulation, i.e., bearing members 7 and 9, with femoral component 3 and an intercondylar portion with an eminence or "vertical support structure" or "post" 33 wherein the femoral component 3 and the intercondylar portion 33 are mechanically joined with the means for low friction articulation (col. 4, lines 31-68, col. 5 and col. 6, lines 1-53).

Cloutier discloses that the tibial platform (33, 37 and 39) is made of the same material as the femoral component 3, i.e., chromium-cobalt steel alloy, and that the bearing members 7 and 9 are made of plastic. Thus, the intercondylar portion 33 inherently has a higher toughness than the bearing members 7 and 9.

Cloutier discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the lip on the intercondylar portion having raised edges or a dovetail configuration.

Volz teaches providing a dovetail engagement between a tibial platform and a tibial bearing member for proper positioning of the bearing member with respect to the platform by means of a snug sliding fit (col. 2, lines 63-68 and col. 3, lines 1-5).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a dovetail-like configuration, as taught by Volz, to engage the tibial component and the bearing members, in order to provide a snug sliding fit between the bearing members and the tibial component in the prosthesis of the combination of Cloutier and Volz.

The method steps of claims 19 and 20 are rendered obvious by the above discussion and are performed when the device of the combination of Cloutier and Volz is used for knee arthroplasty.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on August 9, 2006 have been fully considered.

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9, 12-13, 18 and 22 are allowed.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR Anuach Lamara
October 19, 2006

EDUARIO C. HOBERT

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5